88/27/2008 10:24

0000000000

0

PAGE 02

Case	1:08-cv-05815-CM	Document 2	שטעעלורטושט פוודן	Page 2 of 4

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORKX	•	
District 6 Health Plan And Its Tustees,		
Plaintiff(s),	08 Chv. 6815 (CM) (MHD)	
-eg elast -		
Mermald Manor Assisted Living Program, et al,	USDS SDNY	
	DOCUMENT	
Defendant(s).	ELECTRONICALLY FILED	
¥	DOC #:	
	DATE FILED: 9518	

CIVIL CASE MANAGEMENT PLAN (for all cases expent patent, IDEA and ERISA benefits cases, and cases subject to the Private Securities Litigation Reform Act)

- 1. This ease their not to be tried to a jury.
- 2. Discovery pursuant to Fed.R.Chv.P. 26(a) shall be exchanged by October 3.2008
- 3. No additional parties may be joined after October 3, 2008
- 4. No pleading may be amended after October 3, 2008
- 5. If your case is brought pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 1983; In keeping with the United States Summer Count's observation that the issue of qualified immunity should be decided before discovery is conducted, counsel representing any defendant who intends to clean qualified immunity must comply with the special procedure set forth in Judge McMahon's individual rules, which can be found at www.nvsdancourts.cov.

Failure to proceed in accordance with the qualified immunity rules constitutes a waiver of the right to move for judgment on the ground of qualified immunity prior to trial. Please identify any party who is moving to dismiss on qualified immunity grounds.

6. All discovery, including expert discovery, must be completed on or before __. (For personal injury, civil rights, employment Jaquary 30,2009 discrimination or medical maipractice cases only): Plaintiff's deposition shall be taken first, and shall be completed by January 30, 2000 PLEASE NOTE: the phrase "all discovery,

Case 1:08-cv-06815-CM Document 7

0

PAGE 03

Document 2 Case 1:08-cv-06815-CM Filed 08/01/2008 Page 3 of 4

including expert discovery" mosts that the parties must select and disclose their experts' identities and opinions, as required by Fed. R. Civ. P. 26(a)(2)(B), well before the expiration of the discovery period. Expert disclosures conforming with Rule 26 must be made no later than the following dates: Plaintiff(s) expert report(s) by January 30, 2009 ; Defendant(s) expert report(s) by Tanuary 30 2008

- hudge McMahon's Rules governing electronic discovery apply automatically to this case. The parties must comply with those rules unless they supercede it with a consent order. The text of the order will be found at www.nvsd.uscourts.nov.
- 3. This care has been designated to the Hon. United States Magistrate no 1 in car. for resolution of discovery disputes. Do not contact Judge McMehon about discovery disputes; go directly to your assigned Magistrate Judge. Discovery disputes do not result in any extension of the dispovery deadline or trial-ready date, and Judge McMahon must approve any extension of the discovery deadline in non-pro se cases. The Magistrate Judge cannot change discovery deadlines unless you agree to transfer the case to the Magistrate Judge for all purposes. Judge MoMahon does not southerly grant extensions so counsel are warned that it they wait until the has minute to bring discovery disputes to the attention of the Magistrate Judge, they may find themselves precluded from taking discovery because they have run out of time.
- A joint pre-trial order in the form prescribed in Judge McMahon's individual rules. together with all other pro-trial submissions required by those rules (not lookading in limine motions), shall be submitted on or beforeMax ch 16,200 Following submission of the joint pre-trial order, counsel will be notified of the date of the final pre-trial conference. In limins motions must be filed within five days of receiving notice of the final pre-tidal conference; responses to in Emine motions are due five days after the motions are made. Cases may be called for trial at any time following the final pre-trial conference.
- 10. No motion for summary judgment may be served after the date the pre-trial order is due. The fiting of a motion for summary judgment does not relieve the parties of the obligation to file the pre-trial order and other pre-trial submissions on the assigned data.
- 11. The parties may at any time consent to have this case tried before the assigned Magistrate Judge pursuant to 28 U.S.C. Section 636(c).

68/27/2008 10:24

0000000000

0

PAGE 84

Case 1:08-cv-06815-CM Document 2 Filed 08/01/2008 Page 4 of 4

12. This scheduling order may be altered or amended only on a showing of good cause that is not foreseeable at the time this order is catered. Counsel should not assume that extensions will be granted as a matter of routine.

Dated: August 27, 2008 New York, New York

Upon consent of the parties: [signatures of all counsel]

Marc Josephan

Marc A. Tononbrum Barnen, Iscowine, Virginia, Ambinder & Shepherd, PLLC 11 i Breadway, Suite 1403 New York, New York 10006

Counsel for Plaintiffs

Stoven B. Horowitz 150 Morsis Averse, Suite 206 Springfield, NJ 07081

Counsel for Defendants

SO ORDERED:

Hon. College McMahon United States District Judge

9-4-08